IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) 4	Art Unit: (N/A)
Menachem KRAUS, et al.) 1	Examiner: (N/A)
Serial No.: 10/577,618) ,	Washington, D.C.
Filed: April 28, 2006) .	August 2, 2010
For: SAFETY DRUG HANDLING DEVICE)	Docket No.: U 016272-1
INFORMATION DISCLO	SURE	STATEMENT [IDS]
Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademark Washington, D.C. 20231	.s	
Sir:		
This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application. [X] 1. This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed: (Check one of the boxes A-D)		
[] A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application of within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application.		
[X] B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits.		
[] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or j	final re	ejection or allowance, and Applicants have necessary fee (box "ii" below).
(check one of the boxes "i" and "ii" below: [] i. Counsel certifies that, upon inform herein was either (a) cited in a communication from application not more than three months prior to communication from a foreign patent office in knowledge of undersigned after making reason designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the communication from the communic	nation a m a for the filir a cou able ind	ng of this IDS; or (b) was not cited in a nterpart foreign application and, to the quiry, was not known to any individua

[] ii. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), presently believed to be \$240, is enclosed (check no).
[] D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee: Applicant petitions under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) for the consideration of this IDS. A check for the fee set forth in §1.17(i), presently believed to be \$130 is enclosed (check no
[] 2. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS includes a list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document listed is attached, except as explained below.
(check boxes A and/or B and fill in blanks, if appropriate.) [] A. Document(s) is (are) deemed substantially cumulative to document(s), and, in accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents is enclosed.
[] B. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s), which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:
[insert serial numbers and filing dates of prior applications]
Applicant identifies these documents by attaching hereto copies of the forms PTO-892 and PTO-1449 from the files of the prior application(s) or a fresh PTO-1449 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application.
[X] 3. Document: Microfilm of Utility Model application No. S61-176496 (Publication of Unexamined Utility Model Application No. S63-84239) is not in the English language. In accordance with 1.98(c), Applicant states:
[] An English translation of this document (or of the pertinent portions thereof), or a copy of each corresponding English-language patent or application, or English-language abstract (or claim) is enclosed.
[X] A concise explanation of the relevance of this document is found in the attached Comments to the Notification of the Reasons for Refusal (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) is set forth as follows: [Insert concise explanation of relevance]
[] A concise explanation of the relevance of document(s) can be found on page(s) of the specification.
[] A concise explanation of document(s) can be found on the attached sheet.

- 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and 68 in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
 - 5. Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

Respectfully submitted

Clifford J. Mass

t/o Ladas & Parry LLP 26 West 61st Street

New York, New York 10023

Reg. No. 30086

Tel. No. (212) 708-1890